UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,353	01/23/2004	Randy Hoffman	200311332-2	7102
22879 7590 05/15/2009 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			EXAMINER	
			MONDT, JOHANNES P	
	NS, CO 80527-2400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM ipa.mail@hp.com jessica.l.fusek@hp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/763,353	HOFFMAN ET Al Art Unit 3663 e] tiary reference. N/A. o if an agreement confirmed to be infirmation call corfirmed to appear and the appear of the confirmed to appear to a position of the confirmation of	L.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	JOHANNES MONDT	3663					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>JOHANNES MONDT</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Steven L. Nicholls (40,326)</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>04 May 2009</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)∏ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>N.A.</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Fang et al as made of record by examiner as evidentiary reference.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Upon request by examiner appellants ' representative confirmed to be in possession of Fang et al. which was cited as evidentiary reference in the appealed Office action (confirmation call conducted by Rebecca). Fang et al inadvertently was omitted from PTO-892 but examiner later faxed Fang et al to appellants' representative. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/JOHANNES MONDT/							